

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	IO. 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/009,576		08/27/2002	Lewis Dewi	NIDN-73247 3162	
36335	7590	08/25/2005		EXAMINER	
AMERS	HAM HEA	LTH	JONES, DAMERON LEVEST		
IP DEPARTMENT 101 CARNEGIE CENTER				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PRINCE	TON, NJ 0	8540-6231	1618	***	
				DATE MAILED: 08/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	~						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
·		10/009,576	DEWI ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		D. L. Jones	1618					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - External control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Ju</u>	ine 2005						
·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.						
'=	Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits is					
,_	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims	,						
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,4-10,13 and 14 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1, 4-10, 13, and 14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachmen	t(s)							
	te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
3) 🔲 Infon	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/009,576 Page 2

Art Unit: 1618

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

1. The Examiner acknowledges receipt of the amendment filed 6/2/05 wherein claim 1 is amended and claims 2, 3, 11, 12, and 15 are canceled.

Note: Claims 1, 4-10, 13, and 14 are pending.

RESPONSE TO APPLICANT'S AMENDMENTS/ARGUMENTS

2. The Applicant's arguments filed 6/2/05 to the rejection of claims 1, 4-10, 13, and 14 made by the Examiner under 35 USC 103 and/or 112 have been fully considered and deemed non-persuasive for the reasons set forth below.

112 First Paragraph Rejection

The rejection of claims 1, 4-10, 13, and 14 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, rejection as being enabling for specific species is MAINTAINED for reasons of record in the office action mailed 11/30/04.

Applicant asserts that the incorporation of the phrase 'containing covalently bonded iodine wherein the iodine is bonded to at least one other atom which is not a halogen wherein said iodine containing compound is' restricts the compound to specific species.

The incorporation of the phrase as set forth in the amended claims does not overcome the rejection because the claim reads on all iodine containing compounds attached to any atom other than a halogen which Applicant is still not entitled to based on the scope of enablement rejection of record. Applicant is not enabled for all iodine substrates as set forth in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/009,576 Page 3

Art Unit: 1618

112 Second Paragraph Rejection

The rejection of claims 1, 4-10, 13, and 14 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention is MAINTAINED for reasons of record in the office action mailed 11/30/04 and those set forth below.

Applicant is once again asserting that that the intended use of the product, 'for use in brachytherapy' should be given patentable weight.

Applicant is once again reminded that a recitation of intended utility in the preamble does not impart patentability to a known composition (In re Spada, 911, F.2d 705, 15 U.S.P.Q. 2d 1655 (Fed. Cir. 1990)). Thus, an intended use clause found in the preamble of a product claim is not afforded the effect of a distinguishing limitation wherein the product is a self-contained descript of the structure (i.e., product) not depending on the preamble for completeness. Hence, the product comprises a biocompatible echogenic container and a radioactive isotope of iodide ion or an iodine containing compound as set forth in claim 1..

103 Rejection

The rejection of claims 1, 4-10, 13, and 14 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suthanthiran et al (US Patent No. 4,994,013) is MAINTAINED for reasons of record in the office action mailed 11/30/04 and those set forth below.

Applicant's arguments may be summarized as the intended use of the radioactive source was not given patentable weight and thus, patentability should be not be based solely on the product components.

Application/Control Number: 10/009,576 Page 4

Art Unit: 1618

According to MPEP 2111.02, a preamble is non-limiting unless it breathes life and meaning into the claim. In particular, the preamble is not given the effect of a limitation unless it breathes life and meaning into the claim. In order to limit the claim, the preamble must be 'essential to point out the invention defined by the claim'.

Generally, a preamble is not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness, but instead, the process steps or structural limitation are able to stand alone. In a composition claim, the preamble is generally non-limiting if the preamble merely recites an inherent property. This is not the case in Applicant's invention. Also, the intended use may further limit the claim if it does more than merely state purpose or intended use. This is also not the case for the instant invention. Hence, the patentability of the instant invention is based on the components present in the product.

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/009,576

Art Unit: 1618

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 5

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. L. Jones whose telephone number is (571) 272-0617. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 6:45 a.m. - 3:15 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on (571) 272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary/Examiner

Art Unit 1618